

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,511	11/20/2003	Pablo Rodriguez Fernandez	03198	5625	
23338 7	590 02/07/2005		EXAM	INER	
DENNISON, SCHULTZ, DOUGHERTY & MACDONALD			BUI, TH	BUI, THACH H	
SUITE 105	1727 KING STREET SUITE 105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3752		

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/716,511	FERNANDEZ, PABLO ED RODRIGUEZ				
Office Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thach H Bui	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·				
•	—· s action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
·—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>		)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No				
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li> <li>application from the International Burea</li> </ol>		ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The preliminary admenment filed November 20, 2004 has been received and entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

The claims are replete with informalities too numerous to mention specifically.

The following noted informalities are merely exemplary thereof. The claims should be revised to conform to U.S. Patent Office practice.

Regarding claim 1, the claim is vague and indefinite because "it has two differentiated cylindrical parts" recited in line 3, renders the claim unclear. Should it be "it has two different cylindrical parts"?

Regarding claim 1, the claim is vague and indefinite because "which, being a part coupled to the piston" recited in line 5, renders the claim unclear.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,511

Art Unit: 3752

Regarding claim 1, the claim is vague and indefinite because "the water pressure pushing said piston in a portion calculated for said purpose" recited in lines 6-7, renders the claim unclear. Which portion? And how does it being calculated?

Regarding claim 1, the claim is vague and indefinite because "characterized in" renders the claim unclear. See other claims for the same informality.

Regarding claim 1, the claim is vague and indefinite because "an upper end" recited in line 22, renders the claim unclear. Is it the upper end of the filter or the upper end of a different part?

Regarding claim 1, the claim is vague and indefinite because ". Reference" renders the claim unclear. The claim should not contain a period (.).

Regarding claim 4, the claim is vague and indefinite because "has a widened and internally conditioned part" renders the claim unclear.

Whereas the claims are so informal as indicated above, the Examiner could not reasonably apply prior art thereto. Unless indicated otherwise, the Examiner's failure to apply prior art to the claims should not be construed as an indication of allowable subject matter.

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Clark, Onofrio, Sesser et al., Ingham, Jr. Et al., and Kearby et al. are cited of general interest.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thach H Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

02/02/2005